



Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → +

HDP/SB/21 based on PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

*pw*

# TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	10/753,401
Filing Date	January 9, 2004
Inventor(s)	Jin Yong KIM et al.
Group Art Unit	2627
Examiner Name	Parul Gupta
Attorney Docket Number	1740-000036/US

## ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

☐ Fee Transmittal Form

☒ Fee Attached

☐ Amendment

☐ After Final

☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)

☐ Extension of Time Request

☐ Express Abandonment Request

☒ Information Disclosure Statement

☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

☐ Response to Missing Parts/  
Incomplete Application

☐ Response to Missing  
Parts under 37 CFR  
1.52 or 1.53

☐ Assignment Papers  
(for an Application)

☐ Letter to the Official Draftsperson and  
\_\_\_\_ Sheets of Formal Drawing(s)

☐ Licensing-related Papers

☐ Petition

☐ Petition to Convert to a  
Provisional Application

☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation  
Change of Correspondence Address

☐ Terminal Disclaimer

☐ Request for Refund

☐ CD, Number of CD(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ After Allowance Communication to  
Group

☐ LETTER SUBMITTING APPEAL  
BRIEF AND APPEAL BRIEF (w/clean  
version of pending claims)

☐ Appeal Communication to Group  
(Notice of Appeal, Brief, Reply Brief)

☐ Proprietary Information

☐ Status Letter

☒ Other Enclosure(s)  
(please identify below):

**Request for Reconsideration**

Remarks

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm  
or  
Individual name

Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.

Attorney Name  
Scott A. Elchert


Reg. No.  
55,149

Signature

*Scott A. Elchert* 55,149

Date

March 21, 2008

**Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/753,401

Applicant(s)

KIM ET AL.

Examiner

Parul Gupta

Art Unit

2627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Parul Gupta.(3) Scott Elchert.(2) Wayne Young.(4) David Kim.Date of Interview: 03 October 2007.Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.Identification of prior art discussed: Kuroda.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Currently, as the linking areas are not properly described or shown in the figures, a 112 issue may be possible. However, agreement was reached regarding the prior art in that the application differs from the invention of Kuroda.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
WAYNE YOUNG  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required